

Mello Aff #1, par 19, ref 6:

http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/getdoc.cgi?dbname=111_cong_reports&docid=f:sr201.111.pdf

Calendar No. 414

111TH CONGRESS }
2d Session }

SENATE

{ REPORT
{ 111-201

NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011

R E P O R T

[TO ACCOMPANY S. 3454]

ON

AUTHORIZING APPROPRIATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011 FOR MILITARY ACTIVITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AND FOR MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, TO PRESCRIBE MILITARY PERSONNEL STRENGTHS FOR SUCH FISCAL YEAR, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

TOGETHER WITH

ADDITIONAL VIEWS

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES
UNITED STATES SENATE



JUNE 4, 2010.—Ordered to be printed
Filed, under authority of the order of the Senate of May 28 (legislative
day, May 26), 2010

tion line item approach was that the life cycle costs would be less using the GSA/third party approach. The committee is concerned that NNSA may be supplementing the construction costs. The committee also notes that ground breaking for the new building has been delayed until August 2011. For future budget submissions, the committee directs the NNSA to specifically identify funds for the KCRIMS project as a separate element of the RTBF and the purpose for which they will be spent.

The committee continues to believe that replacing the existing Chemical and Metallurgical Research facility is essential but that the new Chemical and Metallurgical Research Replacement (CMRR) facility has many unresolved issues including the appropriate size of the facility. CMRR will be a category I facility supporting pit operations in building PF-4. Now that the Nuclear Posture Review is completed the NNSA and the Department of Defense (DOD) are in a better position to ensure that the facility is appropriately sized. Elsewhere in this act the committee has recommended a provision to require construction project baselines and to track cost and schedule issues. The committee is very concerned that the NNSA follow the DOE 413 order series and project management and guidance. The NNSA is also directed to conduct a true independent cost estimate for the CMRR Nuclear Facility, phase III of the CMRR project. The committee is concerned that the phase III project is being divided into multiple sub-projects. Notwithstanding this management approach the committee directs the CMRR baseline to reflect all phases and subprojects for the purposes of the cost and schedule baseline provision and to be accounted for as a single project.

The committee recommends an increase of \$20.0 million for the Los Alamos Neutron Science Center (LANSCE) refurbishment, Project 09-D-007. The LANSCE supports the only machine capable of performing nuclear cross section measurements of weapons materials to support the resolution of significant findings investigations. LANSCE refurbishment would also further enhance the ability of the NNSA to perform surveillance on the stockpile. The committee recognizes that there is considerable deferred maintenance at the LANSCE facility that will need to be addressed as the final design for the LANSCE refurbishment is determined. In the interim the committee authorizes the NNSA to use such funds in fiscal year 2011 as needed to maintain the facility while the design is finalized.

The committee recommends an increase of \$10.0 million for the high explosive pressing facility at the Pantex Plant, Project 08-D-802 to accelerate construction of the facility. This new high explosive facility is needed for life extension programs and will provide a modern, safe, working environment for these high risk operations.

Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation programs

The committee recommends \$2.7 billion for the Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation program, the same as the budget request. The National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) has management and oversight responsibility for the nuclear nonproliferation programs at the Department of Energy (DOE).